

2021 Pacific Northwest Bay-Watershed Education and Training

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. Funding Opportunity Description ..... 4

    A. Program Objective ..... 4

    B. Program Priorities ..... 12

    C. Program Authority ..... 20

II. Award Information ..... 20

    A. Funding Availability ..... 20

    B. Project/Award Period ..... 20

    C. Type of Funding Instrument ..... 21

III. Eligibility Information ..... 21

    A. Eligible Applicants ..... 21

    B. Cost Sharing or Matching Requirement ..... 21

    C. Other Criteria that Affect Eligibility ..... 22

IV. Application and Submission Information ..... 22

    A. Address to Request Application Package ..... 22

    B. Content and Form of Application ..... 22

    C. Unique Entity Identifier and System for Award Management (SAM) ..... 30

    D. Submission Dates and Times ..... 31

    E. Intergovernmental Review ..... 32

    F. Funding Restrictions ..... 32

    G. Other Submission Requirements ..... 33

V. Application Review Information ..... 33

    A. Evaluation Criteria ..... 33

    B. Review and Selection Process ..... 41

    C. Selection Factors ..... 42

    D. Anticipated Announcement and Award Dates ..... 43

VI. Award Administration Information ..... 43

    A. Award Notices ..... 43

    B. Administrative and National Policy Requirements ..... 44

    C. Reporting ..... 50

VII. Agency Contacts ..... 51

VIII. Other Information ..... 51

## NOTICE OF FUNDING OPPORTUNITY

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Federal Agency Name(s): National Ocean Service (NOS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Department of Commerce

Funding Opportunity Title: 2021 Pacific Northwest Bay-Watershed Education and Training

Announcement Type: Initial

Funding Opportunity Number: NOAA-NOS-ONMS-2021-2006747

Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance (CFDA) Number: 11.429, Marine Sanctuary Program

Dates: Electronic applications must be received by 8:59 p.m. Pacific Time /11:59 p.m. Eastern Time) on Thursday, February 4, 2021 to be considered for funding.

Applicants are required to apply online through [www.grants.gov](http://www.grants.gov). Use of Grants.gov requires an advance registration process that may take a few days or several weeks. In addition, when developing your submission timeline, keep in mind that it may take Grants.gov up to two business days to validate or reject a submitted application.

Funding Opportunity Description: NOAA's Office of National Marine Sanctuaries (Olympic Coast National Marine Sanctuary office) is seeking proposals under the Pacific Northwest B-WET program ([http://olympiccoast.noaa.gov/ocean\\_literacy/bwet.html](http://olympiccoast.noaa.gov/ocean_literacy/bwet.html)).

The Pacific Northwest Bay Watershed Education and Training (B-WET) program is an environmental education program that supports locally relevant, authentic experiential learning in the K-12 environment. Funded projects provide Meaningful Watershed Educational Experiences (MWEEs; defined below) for students, related professional development for teachers, and help to support regional education and environmental priorities in the Pacific Northwest. The primary delivery is through competitive grants.

This year, the Pacific Northwest B-WET Notice of Funding Opportunity is modified to reflect the significant impact COVID-19 has had on the ability of environmental education providers to engage with schools and therefore meaningfully reach students and teachers. The resulting loss of programs will have lasting repercussions on the targeted audiences of these programs and to the institutions who provide these services. The NOAA B-WET program recognizes that support is needed to bridge this gap that has been created by the loss of revenue, the cancellation of programs, and the inequities that are being exacerbated by the pandemic.

As a major contributor to environmental education programs, the NOAA B-WET program is committed to responding to the immediate needs of this pandemic and supporting these critical institutions that provide meaningful experiences for youth at all levels.

Given this, the FY21 Pacific Northwest B-WET funding announcement focuses on the following priority areas:

- 1) Professional Development for teachers that ultimately supports the implementation of systemic Meaningful Watershed Educational Experiences (MWEEs) for students; and
- 2) Meaningful response to the COVID-19 pandemic that supports the implementation of Meaningful Watershed Educational Experiences (MWEEs) for students.

For Pacific Northwest B-WET, applicants may be physically located in any U.S. state; however, education projects must target teachers and/or students in the Pacific Northwest region. For the purposes of this solicitation, the Pacific Northwest region is defined as Oregon and Washington.

This funding opportunity meets NOAA's Vision of healthy ecosystems (<http://www.noaa.gov/our-mission-and-vision>), helping to ensure that ocean, estuarine, and related ecosystems and the species that inhabit them are vibrant and sustainable in the face of challenges.

## FULL ANNOUNCEMENT TEXT

## I. Funding Opportunity Description

## A. Program Objective

## a. OVERVIEW

The NOAA Bay Watershed Education and Training (B-WET) program is an environmental education program that promotes locally relevant, authentic experiential learning focused on K–12 audiences. The primary delivery of B-WET is through competitive funding that promotes Meaningful Watershed Educational Experiences (MWEEs). B-WET was established in 2002 in the Chesapeake Bay watershed and currently exists in seven regions: California, Chesapeake Bay, Hawaii, Gulf of Mexico, New England, Pacific Northwest, and Great Lakes. The Office of National Marine Sanctuaries coordinates four of the seven B-WET regions. The Office of National Marine Sanctuaries serves as the trustee for a network of underwater areas encompassing more than 600,000 square miles of marine and Great Lakes waters from Washington state to the Florida Keys, and from Lake Huron to American Samoa. The network includes a system of 14 national marine sanctuaries and Papahānaumokuākea and Rose Atoll marine national monuments.

The Pacific Northwest B-WET program supports grantee capacity building and connects grantees to local NOAA assets and relevant STEM (Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics) expertise, while being responsive to local education and environmental priorities. For the purposes of this solicitation, the Pacific Northwest region is defined as Oregon and Washington.

NOAA recognizes that knowledge and commitment built from firsthand experience, especially in the context of one's community and culture, is essential for achieving environmental stewardship. Carefully selected experiences driven by rigorous academic learning standards, engendering discovery and wonder, and nurturing a sense of community will further connect students with their watershed, help reinforce an ethic of responsible citizenship, and promote academic achievement. Experiential learning techniques, such as those supported by the NOAA B-WET program, have been shown to increase interest in STEM, thus contributing to NOAA's obligations under the America Competes Act (33 USC 893a(a)).

Every year, the NOAA B-WET program supports environmental education programs for thousands of students and teachers. These programs engage youth in MWEEs that provide memorable hands-on, experiential learning that are not typically available within traditional

classrooms. It also plays a significant role in providing professional development to classroom teachers nationwide that increases their content knowledge, skills, and pedagogical expertise.

However, this year, COVID-19 has significantly impacted the ability of environmental education providers to engage with schools and therefore meaningfully reach students and teachers. The loss of programs will have lasting repercussions on the targeted audiences of these programs and to the institutions who provide these services. The NOAA B-WET program recognizes that support is needed to bridge this gap that has been created by the loss of revenue, the cancellation of programs, and the inequities that are being exacerbated by the pandemic.

With challenges though, come opportunities. School districts and their partners have a unique moment to reflect on and reevaluate environmental literacy programs and to move forward with increased direction, alignment, and commitment. For example, new learning and practices from recent shifts from in-person to virtual can be applied to enhance programs' sustainability and applicability. There is also a renewed recognition of the value of outdoor spaces to the health of students and communities.

As a major contributor to environmental education programs, the NOAA B-WET program is committed to responding to the immediate needs of this pandemic and supporting these critical institutions that provide meaningful experiences for youth at all levels.

#### b. DEFINING THE MEANINGFUL WATERSHED EDUCATIONAL EXPERIENCE (MWEE)

Meaningful Watershed Educational Experiences (MWEEs) are learner-centered experiences that focus on investigations into local environmental issues that lead to informed stewardship actions. They are composed of multiple elements that include learning both outdoors and in the classroom, and are designed to increase the environmental literacy of all student participants. All students, regardless of where they live or their social or economic status, should have the opportunity to participate in and benefit from MWEEs.

The MWEE model applies multidisciplinary practices in order for students to understand how the environmental systems they are investigating relate to their community's social or cultural systems. MWEEs help connect students with their local environment and enable them to take actions and make decisions that contribute to stronger, sustainable, and equitable communities. These experiences, grounded in best practices for learning, academic standards, and the context of the local watershed and community, help increase student interest and engagement for learning, support student achievement, promote 21st Century

skills, and achieve environmental stewardship.

The MWEE consists of four essential elements and four supporting practices that build upon each other to create this comprehensive learning experience for students. This process should be tailored to each audience and be age appropriate with practices growing in complexity and sophistication across the grades, starting with teacher-guided investigations and progressing to student-led inquiry. Teachers should support and assist students in their inquiry and investigations of local environmental issues that are of interest to them throughout the MWEE. To support teacher implementation of MWEEs, B-WET has also included five practices that are recommended to be in place for teacher professional development activities.

More information about the MWEE can be found at the following link:

<https://www.noaa.gov/education/explainers/noaa-meaningful-watershed-educational-experience>

## 1. MWEE ESSENTIAL ELEMENTS

The MWEE consists of four essential elements that describe “what students do.” These elements promote a learner-centered approach that emphasizes the role of the student in actively constructing meaning from the learning experiences. The order of the elements depends on project design and is not always linear. For example, some elements, such as Synthesis and Conclusions, should occur repeatedly throughout the MWEE.

### 1.1 Issue Definition

Teachers and students work together to define a locally relevant environmental issue or phenomenon affecting watershed, coastal, or ocean ecosystems. Throughout the MWEE, students focus on a driving question that guides their inquiry and investigations of the defined issue and leads to stewardship actions. During Issue Definition, students learn about the issue through classroom instruction and are actively involved in planning and conducting background research and investigations focused on understanding the driving question (e.g., making observations and/or measurements; carrying out investigations; talking to experts or relevant stakeholders; reviewing credible resources; reviewing current environmental policies or community practices; exploring models; using tools). Students also reflect on personal and public values and perspectives related to the driving question. Teachers should ensure that the driving question is open-ended, relevant to the students’ lives, and meets their learning objectives.

### 1.2 Outdoor Field Experiences

Students participate in multiple outdoor field experiences sufficient to investigate the driving

question. Within appropriate safety guidelines, students are actively involved in planning and conducting the field investigations, including developing supporting questions to explore the driving question in the field. During field experiences, students use their senses to make first-hand observations, gain experience using equipment or technology to collect data or measurements, and conduct experiments necessary to answer their supporting questions and inform student stewardship actions.

Outdoor field experiences can take place on school grounds or at locations in close proximity to schools, such as streams or city parks. They can also take place at offsite locations such as state parks, wildlife refuges, or education centers that are staffed by experts and have access to field education materials and facilities. A range of individuals, including teachers, environmental educators, natural resource professionals, or trained volunteers, can help facilitate field experiences and ensure a safe outdoor learning environment. However, facilitators should co-develop and co-teach instruction with teachers so that field experiences are supportive of their learning objectives and/or academic standards.

Outdoor field experiences allow students to interact with their local environment and contribute to learning in ways that traditional classroom or laboratory settings may not. Projects should employ methodologies used in fieldwork so students learn how to work in a natural uncontrolled environment. Students who have opportunities to learn in, thrive in, and appreciate the outdoors can become informed and engaged champions for our natural resources.

### 1.3 Synthesis and Conclusions

Students identify, synthesize, and apply evidence from their investigations to draw conclusions about the defined issue or phenomenon. They demonstrate understanding of their investigations and conclusions through communication to a variety of audiences such as other classrooms, schools, parents, or the community.

Synthesis and conclusions is an iterative process and should happen regularly throughout the MWEE. Throughout the process, teachers dedicate time for students to reflect on their experiences and investigations in relation to the defined issue or phenomenon. Teachers should facilitate students sharing their conclusions with each other. Students' conclusions should be used to help develop stewardship actions.

### 1.4 Stewardship Actions

Students identify and implement a stewardship action as a solution that directly addresses the defined issue or phenomenon within their school, town, neighborhood, or community. Students are actively engaged and, to the extent possible, drive the decision-making,

planning, and implementation of the stewardship action while teachers play a facilitation role by forming groups, moderating, and answering questions. Students reflect on the action and determine the extent to which the action successfully addressed the issue or phenomenon.

This element allows students to understand that they personally have the power to bring about change to environmental issues, take action to address these issues at the personal or societal level, and understand the value of that action. This can instill confidence in students and can contribute to students becoming environmental stewards in their communities.

Stewardship actions can take many forms and may fall into the following categories:

>Watershed Restoration or Protection: actions that assist in the recovery or preservation of a watershed or related ecosystem that has been degraded, damaged, or destroyed (e.g., plant or restore protective vegetation/trees; restore a local habitat; remove invasive plants; clean up litter at local beaches, parks, or school grounds; develop a school garden, natural history area, community garden, or other sustainable green space; install rain gardens to help manage stormwater).

>Everyday Choices: actions that reduce human impacts on watersheds and related ecosystems and offer ways to live more sustainably (e.g., reduce/reuse/recycle/upcycle; monitor and save water in the face of potential drought or reduction in water availability; compost food or yard waste; research and implement energy efficient strategies or energy alternatives at school and/or at home).

>Community Engagement: actions that inform others about how to address community-level environmental issues (e.g., give presentation to local organizations; organize community events; record or broadcast public service announcements; share information on social media; post flyers in community; share posters at community events/fairs/festivals; mentoring).

>Civic Action: actions that identify and address issues of public concern. Students acting alone or together to protect public values or make a change or difference in a student's school, town, neighborhood, or community (e.g., present to school board or school principal; attend, speak, or present at town meetings; write or meet with decision makers/elected officials of a students' school, town, neighborhood, or community).

## 2. MWEE SUPPORTING PRACTICES

The MWEE also includes four supporting practices that describe “what teachers do.” B-WET recommends that these supporting practices be in place to ensure successful MWEE implementation with students.

### 2.1 Active Teacher Support

MWEEs depend on teachers facilitating and supporting student learning for the duration of the MWEE. Teachers help students make connections and draw on past lessons, serve as environmental role models, and ensure that the essential elements of the MWEE come together to support goals for learning. Even when environmental educators or other professionals are leading elements of the MWEE, the teacher should be actively engaged in answering questions and relating the experience back to the full arc of the MWEE.

To support this level of engagement, teachers should have access to professional development opportunities that support their content knowledge, understanding of the MWEE framework, and confidence and intention to implement MWEEs independently (see Teacher Professional Development for MWEEs for specifics).

### 2.2 Classroom Integration

To be effective, MWEEs need to be embedded into what is already occurring in the classroom. MWEEs should be anchored to state and national academic standards and support goals for learning and/or student achievement. They are not meant to be something extra, but rather an educational approach that helps teachers meet their learning objectives. They can provide authentic, engaging interdisciplinary learning that crosses traditional boundaries between disciplines. Out-of-school activities (e.g., after-school clubs; summer camps) may provide MWEEs, or complement and enrich traditional classroom-based MWEEs.

### 2.3 Local Context

MWEEs use the local environment and community as a context for learning. Situating the MWEE within local contexts promotes learning that is rooted in the unique culture, history, environment, economy, literature, and art of a students' school, neighborhood, town, or community. To enrich MWEEs, local resources (e.g., partners; expertise; field sites) should be incorporated. Partnerships, such as those with local community-based organizations, allow students to engage with members of their community of diverse cultures, values, and expertise for a more equitable and inclusive experience.

Emphasizing local contexts enables students and teachers to develop stronger connections and appreciation for their local environments and communities. This also enables students and teachers to explore how their individual and collective decisions affect their immediate surroundings and how their immediate surroundings affect larger ecosystems and watersheds.

### 2.4 Sustained Learning Experience

MWEEs have multiple experiences that engage students from beginning to end. While a lesson may focus more heavily on one essential element, it does not stand in isolation from the others. Each essential element builds upon and reinforces the others to provide rich learning opportunities spread over the course of a unit or multiple units. All students should have the opportunity to participate in and benefit from each essential element.

### 3. TEACHER MWEE PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT PRACTICES

Professional development should empower teachers to confidently and competently use the MWEE approach to support standards-based learning that aligns with local education agency initiatives. In order to gain and maintain environmental education competencies, teachers benefit from sustained, high quality professional development that includes ongoing support and feedback. Teachers should gain confidence in the value of MWEEs and strategies for conducting them so that they will be able to implement MWEEs after the professional development has ended. Specifically, the following practices are recommended for professional development to support teachers implementing MWEEs.

#### 3.1 Increases teachers' knowledge and awareness of environmental issues

Teachers must have an adequate level of content knowledge for their MWEE topic area specific to their grade level and discipline, including an understanding of their local watersheds, interactions between natural systems and social systems, and human impacts on local watersheds and larger Earth systems. Recognizing that environmental issues often include different perspectives and opinions, teachers must also have a deep understanding of the facts related to environmental issues, along with an understanding of the various stakeholder values. In addition, teachers who demonstrate environmentally responsible attitudes and behaviors may be role models for their students and increase their ability to guide students in stewardship actions to address complex environmental issues.

#### 3.2 Models MWEE framework

Facilitators should utilize the same techniques and experiences in professional development that teachers are expected to use with their students, such as hands-on outdoor field experiences, critical thinking about environmental issues, and stewardship actions. Professional development should also provide opportunities for teachers to understand the goals and rationale behind the MWEE as an approach to learning and stewardship. Professional development should deliver workshops on both MWEE content and instruction, include ongoing support for teachers, and include time for teachers to plan for how the student MWEEs will be implemented.

#### 3.3 Allows for adequate instructional time

Professional development should be multi-day, occurring consecutively or over the course of

several weeks or months. Professional development should include ample opportunity for teachers to reflect on their own teaching practices and plan for how to use knowledge and skills gained from professional development in the classroom. Opportunities to share ideas and challenges with colleagues in a cohort creates space for dialogue that can provide teachers with additional support and inspiration.

#### 3.4 Provides ongoing teacher support and appropriate incentives

Even in cases where teachers participate in robust multi-day workshops, such as summer or weekend courses, it is still essential that professional development providers have a structure in place for on-going teacher support and enrichment. This can take the form of follow up meetings, creating web-based forums for communication and feedback, establishing mentor teachers who can serve as points of contact, or including teams of teachers from one particular school. Continuing education credits and stipends can be used to encourage participation in on-going professional development opportunities. Outreach and training opportunities for school administrators may help increase high level support for both environmental education and continuing teacher professional development for teachers.

#### 3.5 Meets jurisdictional guidelines for effective teacher professional development

Each jurisdiction has established guidance and recommendations relevant to all forms of teacher professional development. When possible, professional development opportunities for MWEEs should adhere to these general guidelines set forth by local education agencies.

### c. RESOURCES FOR IMPLEMENTING MWEEs

The following resources explain the Meaningful Watershed Education Experience (MWEE) and provide guidance on implementing a MWEE. Though some of the following resources are Chesapeake Bay-focused, the information provided is highly applicable to MWEEs implemented in the Pacific Northwest.

- > MWEE descriptive webpages: <https://www.noaa.gov/education/explainers/noaa-meaningful-watershed-educational-experience>. The full definition of the MWEE is provided online in an easy to use online format.
- > Bay Backpack MWEE webpages: <http://baybackpack.com/mwee/what-is-a-mwee>. Bay Backpack is an online resource that supports hands-on environmental learning. By providing educators with information about field studies, and curriculum guides and lesson plans, Bay Backpack helps educators find the tools they need to give their students MWEEs.
- > An Educator's Guide to the MWEE:

[http://baybackpack.com/assets/documents/Educators\\_Guide\\_to\\_MWEE\\_Download.pdf](http://baybackpack.com/assets/documents/Educators_Guide_to_MWEE_Download.pdf).

This guide provides basic tools to help think, plan, and evaluate a MWEE. It has been designed for users with varying levels of familiarity with the MWEE. It defines and explains the MWEE, guides you through creating a solid plan that connects a MWEE to the curriculum, helps you identify opportunities to build on existing MWEEs and assess success, and provides guidance on communicating MWEE successes and securing funding.

> MWEE 101 training: <https://cbexapp.noaa.gov/course/view.php?id=5555>. This is an online course for the MWEE and is made up of three lessons: Why MWEEs, What Makes a MWEE, and Planning and Evaluating MWEEs. These lessons will introduce you to the MWEE, explore what MWEEs can look like, highlight the MWEE's components, and introduce the tools that support the development and implementation of MWEEs.

> School Grounds for Learning: [http://baybackpack.com/schoolyard\\_projects/about](http://baybackpack.com/schoolyard_projects/about). This resource supports the development and continued use of integrated, sustainable indoor and outdoor environmental learning projects on school grounds.

## B. Program Priorities

### a. PRIORITIES

Proposals MUST address one of the following priorities (described below in detail):

1. Professional Development for teachers that ultimately supports the implementation of systemic Meaningful Watershed Educational Experiences (MWEEs) for students.
2. Meaningful response to the COVID-19 pandemic that supports the implementation of Meaningful Watershed Educational Experiences (MWEEs) for students.

### DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAM PRIORITIES

1. PRIORITY 1: Professional Development for teachers that ultimately supports the implementation of systemic Meaningful Watershed Educational Experiences (MWEEs) for students.

The NOAA B-WET program seeks proposals for projects that support long-term systemic classroom-integrated Meaningful Watershed Educational Experiences (MWEEs) for students through high-quality teacher professional development related to MWEEs. Although time may be needed to develop capacity for systemic implementation, projects under this priority

should have the end goal of ultimately reaching the entire student population in one or more grades within a school district with teacher-supported MWEEs. Teacher professional development and supported student activities under this priority area should incorporate science and stewardship activities focused on issues of ocean and climate science as it relates to the local watershed, and address all aspects of the MWEE as defined in Section I.A.b.

It is recommended that systemic projects should have a 24-month project period, should focus on teacher professional development in year one, and implementation of student MWEEs in year two. This recommendation is made due to the fact that teacher audiences may be easier to reach during the pandemic and in the initial recovery. Teachers also have a high need for resources that they can apply immediately to their modified school programming.

Professional development should empower teachers to confidently and competently use the MWEE approach to support standards-based learning that aligns with local education agency initiatives. In order to gain and maintain environmental education competencies, teachers benefit from sustained, high quality professional development that includes ongoing support and feedback. Teachers should gain confidence in the value of MWEEs and strategies for conducting them so that they will be able to implement MWEEs after the professional development has ended. Projects submitted under this area should be designed so that teachers not only understand what a MWEE is, but why this type of pedagogy is important. The goal is to ensure that professional development experiences for the teacher ultimately benefit their students.

Teacher professional development should be offered for all teachers whose students will be engaged in MWEEs so they can support classroom integration. It should deliver training on both content and instruction in the outdoors, include yearlong support for teachers, and include a plan for how teachers will be involved in implementing watershed education with their students. This kind of in-depth professional development reinforces a teacher's ability to teach, inspire, and lead young people toward thoughtful stewardship of our natural resources.

Based on educational research findings and preliminary evidence from the B-WET national evaluation system, the B-WET program recommends that professional development include more than 30 hours of professional development time, of which more than 10 hours should be spent outdoors. These targets are expected to support teacher change and increase the likelihood that teachers will implement MWEEs. This may include any of the following types of B-WET professional development: workshops, college-level courses, professional development provider training (training for individuals who provide teacher professional

development), individual teacher coaching and support (e.g., curriculum planning, shared teaching, demonstrations and/or other forms of in-school or in-field support), and/or online support (e.g., courses, webinars, discussion forums).

Professional development should include tools for teachers to implement MWEEs on their school grounds as an alternative to offsite field experiences. Resources exist to support the effective hands-on implementation of MWEEs and the development and continued use of integrated, sustainable indoor and outdoor environmental learning projects that provide substantial benefit to both students and the school environment. Please see the School Grounds for learning resources available at:  
[http://baybackpack.com/schoolyard\\_projects/about](http://baybackpack.com/schoolyard_projects/about)

Proposals should include a plan of action to show how teacher professional development will ultimately lead to systemic MWEEs where students participate in all MWEE elements as defined in section I.A.b (issue definition, outdoor field experiences, synthesis and conclusions, and stewardship action projects). Student MWEEs should be organized around a driving question that has students focus on a locally relevant environmental issue or phenomenon affecting the watershed, coastal, or ocean ecosystems.

Projects that are systemic encourage ownership from a broad range of constituents and promote long-term sustainability of the MWEE project in a school district. These programs require leadership and support from the school district, therefore partnerships with school divisions and/or the state department of education (if the applicant is not one of these entities) are highly encouraged and necessary. Additionally, because of the broad reach of systemic projects, partnerships with multiple partners are often required to ensure all students receive all components of a MWEE and meaningful professional development for teachers is provided.

Proposals should include details about where the project fits in the scope and sequence of school district curriculum, and applicants should clearly understand and convey the primary learning objectives. Multi-disciplinary objectives are encouraged. Letters of support from curriculum supervisors and science, social studies, and other relevant subject coordinators at the district level can be effective in communicating such details.

MWEEs should be embedded across an entire grade level or levels in a district, or be part of a broader systemic program in a school district to reach every student. For example, projects may reach only half of a grade level's teachers and students if the application includes documentation from the school district and other partners that the proposed project is a component of a larger systemic effort that reaches the entire grade level. If this is not

feasible, applicants should explain why it is not feasible and how they will build toward systemic implementation over the course of the grant and into the future.

Applications for projects can come from any eligible applicant, however, substantial coordination and support from the school district is required. To document the appropriate level of support and engagement from school districts, official letters from superintendents, school boards, and/or school district curriculum supervisors are required with proposals.

## 2. PRIORITY 2: Meaningful response to the COVID-19 pandemic that supports the implementation of Meaningful Watershed Educational Experiences (MWEEs) for students

The NOAA B-WET program recognizes that the environmental education field faces multiple threats due to the COVID-19 pandemic. A recent survey of impacts on the field found that thirty percent of environmental education providers report they will be definitely unable or very unlikely to reopen. Organizations will need funding to support creative and alternative approaches so they can provide online or physically distant meaningful opportunities, especially in marginalized communities. In addition, the financial challenges many organizations are facing through the pandemic raises the risk that in the recovery they will prioritize majority audiences and paying participants, with the potential to set back years of efforts to engage more historically underfunded communities in these programs. In response to these impacts, the NOAA B-WET program will focus on capacity building to ensure providers can adjust and adapt to this changing environment. Capacity building can be defined as building capacity within the school system to implement MWEEs, or building capacity within the organization that is providing the MWEE. Applicants should describe how the proposed project will enable implementation of MWEEs as part of the project or create capacity for future implementation of MWEEs. Our intent through this priority is to allow the community to respond with their needs.

Some examples of capacity building could include:

- >Innovative approaches to MWEEs during the COVID-19 pandemic;
- >Support for environmental educators to be redeployed into schools and school systems to provide assistance to instruction in an outdoor setting;
- >Support to promote the value of environmental education as engaging, effective, and essential during the COVID-19 pandemic;
- >Support for an Outdoor Access Coordinator who assists schools and school systems to navigate the pathway towards outdoor learning and field trips during the COVID-19 pandemic, under existing, or new, restrictions that apply to these opportunities;
- >Development of schoolyard habitats, gardens, restoration areas, outdoor labs, weather

stations, and other outdoor settings that are functional for teachers and engaging for students;

- >Training for environmental education staff to engage with marginalized communities;
- >Support to acquire technology and professional training that will enable environmental education organizations to reimagine their programming so they can provide both high-quality and meaningful online and/or physically distant learning opportunities, especially in marginalized communities;
- >Development of partnerships that are a significant driver in ensuring equity and inclusion in environmental literacy planning activities or may bridge pathways between in-school MWEE implementation and complementary out-of-school activities.

We strongly encourage applicants to partner with community-based organizations that will lead with equity during recovery. Community-based partnerships is clearly defined below in Special Interest Areas described in Section I.C., but includes:

- >Organizations and institutions that are run by and/or serve minority communities;
- >Partnerships that help to address a watershed issue or phenomenon by bringing in local expertise on existing environmental issues and creating innovative solutions;
- >Partnerships that enhance the local context, cultural relevance, and cultural competence in professional development for all teachers.

As noted, our intent through this priority is to allow the community to respond to their needs. Therefore, applicants should include a clear description of need within their target audience, and a justification for adjustments that will lead to successful meaningful experiences during COVID-19. Applicants are encouraged to describe the demographics and needs of their target audience(s) and use data to support these assertions. The target audience should be included in the planning process for implementing adjustments. The targeted community's need and participation should be verified with letters of support from both the applicant's community-based partner organizations, and the targeted schools, school district, or school systems.

#### **b. UNIVERSAL ELEMENTS THAT SHOULD BE INCLUDED WITH EACH PROGRAM PRIORITY**

All proposals submitted should address the following universal elements:

##### **1. INVOLVE EXTERNAL SHARING AND COMMUNICATION**

Projects should promote peer-to-peer sharing and emphasize the need for external sharing and communication. Projects should include a mechanism that encourages the students to

share their experiences with other students or with the community (e.g., through a mentoring program, newsletters, journals, or community presentations).

## 2. DEMONSTRATE PARTNERSHIPS

Partnerships are essential to implementing the Pacific Northwest B-WET program. Project proposals should include multiple partners. A partnership is a collaborative working relationship between two or more organizations. In most cases, partnerships with school divisions and/or the state department of education (if the applicant is not one of these entities) are highly encouraged and necessary. All partners should be actively involved in the project, not just supply equipment or curricula. Letters from each partner must be submitted with the application package to demonstrate the level of commitment and involvement.

## 3. ALIGN TO EDUCATIONAL LEARNING STANDARDS

Projects should be aligned to state and/or local learning standards and support local education agency initiatives

## 4. ALIGN TO NOAA EDUCATION PLAN AND INCORPORATE NOAA RESOURCES

Projects should be aligned to the NOAA Education Plan and use NOAA assets, such as data, resources, expertise, or places. Projects are encouraged to collaborate with NOAA entities as partners. NOAA has a wealth of applicable products, data, and services as well as a cadre of scientific and professional experts who can enhance student experiences both in the classroom and in the field. These resources complement the educator's strengths and augment the educational resources. Additionally, NOAA personnel can serve as important role models for career choices and stewardship. Reaching out to NOAA partners early on in the planning process will be most beneficial for consultation and collaboration.

>NOAA Education Plan:

<https://www.noaa.gov/education/explainers/noaa-education-strategic-plan>

>More information about NOAA assets and educational resources:

<http://www.education.noaa.gov/>

## 5. ALIGN TO ENVIRONMENTAL LITERACY PRINCIPLES

Projects should be aligned to environmental literacy principles, as appropriate.

> Ocean Essential Principles and Fundamental Concepts and Climate Essential Principles and Fundamental Concepts guides:

<https://oceanservice.noaa.gov/education/literacy.html>

### c. ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS AND RESOURCES TO SUPPORT PROGRAM PRIORITIES

This year applicants may be struggling to deal with uncertainty related to COVID-19 on programming. Applicants should describe how their methods and programming will be adapted to address all MWEE elements, to the degree possible, while being responsive to guidelines and restrictions imposed in response to the pandemic. Proposals may detail a range of approaches to adapt to COVID-19 restrictions and uncertainty impacting schools throughout the watershed. It is understood that adjustments and alternate approaches will likely be different from the traditional MWEE approach of past projects.

Specifically, applicants should:

> Know audience limitations: Because pandemic restrictions and limitations vary by jurisdiction, school, and household, applicants should demonstrate awareness of their target audiences' capabilities (such as access to the technology needed to participate in virtual programming). Applicants should describe planned communication with school partner administrative staff about how best to engage their audiences.

> Describe alternate methods: Applicants should provide options and examples of how the MWEE framework can be addressed through alternative approaches and methods, such as virtual, blended, at-home, or in-person learning, and remote stewardship activities.

> Describe project flexibilities: Because pandemic responses are fluid over time, applicants should describe what flexibilities their project plan possesses and their ability to adjust methods mid-stream, if needed, and still carry on their project plan. Applicants may describe more than one scenario in their proposal, however the objectives of the scenarios should aim for a common outcome.

> Resources that support outdoor and distance learning:

eeGuidance for Reopening Schools

(<https://naaee.org/eepro/resources/eeGuidance-reopening-schools>)

National COVID-19 Outdoor Learning Initiative

(<https://www.greenschoolyards.org/covid-learn-outside>)

Bay Backpack Outdoor Classrooms, Labs & Habitats

([http://baybackpack.com/schoolyard\\_projects/project/outdoor\\_classrooms\\_labs\\_habitats](http://baybackpack.com/schoolyard_projects/project/outdoor_classrooms_labs_habitats))

Nature Based Education Consortium's Outdoor Learning as a Response to COVID-19  
(<https://www.nbeconsortium.com/outdoor-learning-schools>)

CDC Considerations for Outdoor Learning Gardens and Community Gardens  
(<https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/community/outdoor-garden.html>)

Natural Start Alliance Bright Ideas Resources from the Community  
(<https://naturalstart.org/bright-ideas/resources-community>)

### C. Special Interest Areas

Any proposal to this announcement must meet one of the Priority Areas described in the previous section. NOAA also has an additional Special Interest Area that applicants may wish to address if they choose, which is: Community partnerships that lead with equity.

#### a. COMMUNITY PARTNERSHIPS THAT LEAD WITH EQUITY

Applicants are encouraged to work with community-organizations that lead with equity (if the applicant is not one of these entities). In order to be effective, sustainable, and equitable, environmental education must be integrated within organizations that understand and support the needs of their community. In addition, this current crisis will be felt disproportionately by historically marginalized groups, particularly students of color and students from low-income families, who are more likely to lose environmental education within their local school districts. Therefore, the NOAA B-WET program is interested in projects that partner specifically with organizations and institutions that are run by and/or serve marginalized groups, particularly minority communities. Projects are strongly encouraged to develop meaningful and mutually-beneficial partnerships that honor the strengths of community organizations. In successful partnerships, organizations have shared goals and work together to share resources, communicate effectively, collaborate on decision-making, and competently engage members of diverse cultures and expertise. Adequate compensation should be provided for community-based organization partners and community members for the effort they are contributing to the project. Applicants are encouraged to apply NAAEE's Community Engagement Guidelines for Excellence (<https://naaee.org/eepro/publication/community-engagement-guidelines>) in developing their project plans for engagement of target audiences.

Community partnerships may look different across proposals but may include:

> Historically black colleges and universities, Hispanic serving institutions, Tribal colleges

- and universities, and institutions that work in underserved areas;
- > Organizations and institutions that are run by and/or serve marginalized groups, particularly minority communities;
  - > Partnerships that help to address a watershed challenge, problem, or phenomenon by bringing in local expertise on existing environmental issues and creating innovative solutions;
  - > Partnerships that enhance the local context, cultural relevance, and cultural competence in professional development for all teachers.

### C. Program Authority

Under 33 U.S.C. § 893a(a), the America COMPETES Act, the Administrator of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration is authorized to conduct, develop, support, promote, and coordinate formal and informal educational activities at all levels to enhance public awareness and understanding of ocean, coastal, Great Lakes, and atmospheric science and stewardship by the general public and other coastal stakeholders, including underrepresented groups in ocean and atmospheric science and policy careers. In conducting those activities, the Administrator shall build upon the educational programs and activities of the agency.

## II. Award Information

### A. Funding Availability

It is anticipated that approximately \$550,000 will be available in FY 2021 to fund eligible applications among both priorities in the form of grants or cooperative agreements. The total Federal amount that may be requested from NOAA should not exceed \$100,000. The minimum Federal amount to request from NOAA is \$50,000. NOAA does not expect to consider applications requesting Federal support from NOAA for more than \$100,000 or less than \$50,000.

Proposals not funded in the current fiscal period may be considered for funding in another fiscal period without NOAA repeating the competitive process outlined in this announcement.

### B. Project/Award Period

The project start date should not begin before August 1, 2021. The period of 100 may be for a maximum period of up to 24 months. Applications must include a project description and a budget for the entire award period. Applicants selected to receive funding may be asked to modify the project start date. It is recommended to include the flexibility of the

requested start date in your project description.

### C. Type of Funding Instrument

Proposals selected for funding will be funded through a grant or cooperative agreement depending upon the amount of collaboration, participation, or involvement of NOAA in the management of the project. A cooperative agreement will be used if the NOAA B-WET program shares responsibility for management, control, direction, or performance of the project with the recipient. Specific terms regarding substantial involvement will be contained in special award conditions.

## III. Eligibility Information

### A. Eligible Applicants

Eligible applicants are: K-12 public and independent schools and school systems; institutions of higher education; community-based and nonprofit organizations; regional, state or local government agencies; interstate agencies; and Indian tribal governments. For-profit organizations, foreign organizations, and foreign public entities are not eligible to apply; however, for-profit and foreign organizations and foreign public entities may participate as a project partner with an eligible applicant. Federal agencies are not allowed to receive funds under this announcement but may serve as collaborative project partners and may contribute services in kind. Individuals are not eligible to apply. While applicants do not need to be located in the targeted geographical regions specified in the program objectives, the primary participants of the projects must be located in the geographical regions specified in the program objectives. For the purposes of this solicitation, the Pacific Northwest region is defined as Oregon and Washington.

The Department of Commerce/NOAA is strongly committed to broadening the participation of historically black colleges and universities, Hispanic serving institutions, Tribal colleges and universities, and institutions that work in underserved areas. The NOAA B-WET program encourages proposals involving any of the above institutions as well as other organizations that work with underserved or underrepresented audiences.

### B. Cost Sharing or Matching Requirement

No cost sharing is required under this program. Applicants may demonstrate cost sharing (including third party in-kind match) and program leveraging to support their projects, but this is not included in the eligibility or evaluation criteria. Funds from other Federal sources may not be considered matching funds and may not be used under this award unless expressly authorized by statute. All cost sharing or matching must be consistent with the

requirements of 2 CFR §200.306.

#### C. Other Criteria that Affect Eligibility

No other criteria.

### IV. Application and Submission Information

#### A. Address to Request Application Package

Applicants are required to apply online through Grants.gov. You may access the electronic grant application for the Pacific Northwest Bay Watershed Education and Training Program at <http://www.grants.gov>.

Please note that applicants must locate the downloadable application package for this program by the Notice of Funding Opportunity number (found on the first page of this announcement) or CFDA number (11.429). Users of Grants.gov are now required to use a new application process called Workspace. For more information regarding this platform, please visit: <https://www.grants.gov/web/grants/applicants/workspace-overview.html>.

After electronic submission of the application, applicants will receive an automatic acknowledgment from Grants.gov that contains a Grants.gov tracking number. NOAA may request that you provide original signatures on forms at a later date. We strongly recommend that you do not wait until the application deadline date to begin the application process through Grants.gov.

If an applicant has problems downloading, please contact 1-800-518-4726 or [support@grants.gov](mailto:support@grants.gov).

Applicants unable to effectively access application materials electronically should refer to a NOAA official listed in Section VII. of this Announcement to obtain the application materials.

#### B. Content and Form of Application

Proposals should follow the content and format described below. Applicants should not assume prior knowledge on the part of the Pacific Northwest B-WET, Olympic Coast National Marine Sanctuary or the reviewers as to the relative merits of the project described in the application. Some helpful resources for applicants can be found here: <http://www.noaa.gov/office-education/bwet/apply#APP>

#### a. FORMAT REQUIREMENTS

All pages should be single-spaced and should be composed in at least 11-point font with one-inch margins on 8 1/2 x 11 inch paper. The project description should not exceed 15 pages, exclusive of project summary, literature cited, budget information (including indirect cost rate), resumes of investigator(s), letters of commitment, National Environmental Policy Act questionnaire, and federal forms. Any attachment included in an electronic application should meet the above format requirement when printed out.

All documents submitted as electronic application elements should be PDF (rather than MS Word, Excel, MOV, or other files types).

Full applications, which are submitted through the [www.Grants.gov](http://www.Grants.gov) website, should include a maximum of four files (PDF files only) in addition to the federal application forms:

1. One-page Project Summary
2. Project Description (not to exceed 15 pages total)
3. Budget table, budget narrative (including sub-award details), and the negotiated IDC rate agreement, if applicable)
4. Supplemental information – all other attachments combined into one indexed file, such as resumes, logic model, letters of commitment, literature cited, and a National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Questionnaire (if applicable)

#### b. CONTENT REQUIREMENTS

##### 1. REQUIRED FORMS

The following Federal Forms are required and must be submitted with applications:

- >Standard Form 424 - Application for Federal Assistance
- >Standard Form 424A - Budget Information - Non-Construction Programs
- >Standard Form 424B - Assurances - Non-Construction Programs
- >Standard Form LLL - Disclosure of Lobbying Activities (if applicable)
- >Form CD-511 - Certification Regarding Lobbying

##### 2. APPLICATION PACKAGE

The following information should be included in your application package:

### 2.1. PROJECT SUMMARY (1-page limit)

It is critical that the project summary accurately describes the project being proposed and conveys all essential elements and objectives of the activities. A person unfamiliar with your project should be able to read the summary and grasp your plan.

The project summary should include:

- >Organization title
- >Principal Investigator(s) (PI)
- >Address, telephone number, and email address of applicant and PI(s)
- >Partner(s)
- >Program priority addressed (please only pick one program priority, as this will be used for evaluating your proposal)
- >Special areas of interest addressed
- >Project title
- >Project duration
- >Brief overview of work to be performed during the entire project period including audience description information (i.e. areas served, demographics and school districts, grade levels, number of teachers/students to be reached) and delivery method to be used (e.g. workshops, field experiences, interactive programs)
- >Total Federal funds requested
- >Total project cost
- >Cost per student and teacher

### 2.2 PROJECT DESCRIPTION (15-page limit)

The project description should describe and justify the project being proposed and address each of the evaluation criteria as described below in Section V.A.

>Need: Provide a statement that describes the need for this type of project. Why are you proposing this project? Cite studies or sources, where appropriate, that validate the need for your project. It should be made clear in this section that your organization's proposed project is not duplicating other efforts in your region.

>Target audience(s): Provide a discussion of the target audience(s) that will be served, whether the project will reach marginalized communities and/or children/youth; describe the

demographics and vulnerabilities of the target audience(s) and use data to support these assertions; Identify specifically how many students and teachers are involved in your project and their demographics.

>Area(s) served: Give a precise location of the project and the area(s) that will be served, including schools, school districts, and counties.

>Objectives: Explain your objectives and your plan to accomplish these objectives. Include specific approaches to achieving those objectives, including methods, timelines, and expected outcomes. Objectives should be simple and understandable; as specific and quantitative as possible. Clearly explain how you will achieve your expected outputs and outcomes. Include a table that outlines how the project objectives are aligned to local learning standards, the NOAA Education Plan, and environmental literacy principles.

>Proposed activities: Provide a clear statement of the work to be undertaken. Demonstrate how your project meets the criteria defined in the Program Priorities. Outline how the project proposes to ultimately support the implementation of systemic MWEEs for students. Include a description of activities involving external sharing and communications plan.

> Project Partners. Describe the project partners' roles and the coordination among project partners; Highlight partnerships with schools and school districts; Highlight any partnerships involving NOAA entities to facilitate use of NOAA assets; Describe how partnerships have been formed to engage marginalized community's residents and/or organizations; Highlight any partnerships with community-based organizations that will lead with equity. Reaching out to partners early in the planning process will be most beneficial for consultation and collaboration.

(Note: letters of commitment articulating project partners' roles should be submitted as a separate section of the application.)

> NOAA Assets: Describe what NOAA products, services, or staff will be used in program delivery.

> Participant recruitment: Provide a plan of action that outlines how you will recruit your target audience and identify incentives to be used such as teacher stipends or continuing education credits.

> Evaluation: Project descriptions should also identify and document the results or benefits to be derived from the proposed activities. Project descriptions should include a two-part evaluation description as explained below, including 1) Project-level Evaluation and 2)

## National Evaluation.

(1) Project-level Evaluation: For this funding opportunity, project-level evaluation is defined as the systematic collection and documentation of information about your project's short-term outcomes in order to improve the project's effectiveness, document successes towards meeting project objectives, and inform decisions about future programming. It informs those who design, manage, and implement the project to make refinements and introduce improvements into future efforts.

Project-level evaluations should be rigorous and well planned, with a clear articulation of how the evaluation results will be used (e.g. what questions will they answer). They should be appropriate for the kind of project proposed, the capacity of the applicant, and the size of project (e.g. new start up project vs. long standing program, new applicant vs. repeat applicant). They may be quantitative and/or qualitative and may include, for example, evaluation tools and surveys, observation, or outside consultation. They should result in not only data, but interpretations of the data.

Proposals should provide a project-level evaluation plan for short-term outcomes. If your medium- and long-term outcomes can also be measured within the project period, explain your plans for that evaluation as well. The evaluation plan should include:

- > How will the evaluation be used and what do you hope to gain (e.g. information to determine the success of the project; information on how to improve the project's effectiveness.)
- > What will be evaluated (e.g. changes in participants' knowledge or attitudes related to watersheds)
- > The type(s) of evaluation that is planned (e.g. needs assessment, formative evaluation, process evaluation, outcome evaluation, etc.)
- > The methods for implementing the evaluation (e.g. what will be measured, how it will be measured, when will evaluation data be gathered, and how will results be analyzed and delivered?)

## Resources for Project evaluation:

- > The MWEE Audit Tool in the Chesapeake Bay MWEE Planning Toolbox:  
<http://baybackpack.com/assets/img/mwee/planning-toolbox.pdf>. Use the Audit Tool to determine if your project meets the full definition of the MWEE and to identify areas that could be strengthened.
- > B-WET Student Item Bank and Guidance:  
<https://www.noaa.gov/sites/default/files/atoms/files/PDF%20-%20Guidance4MeasuringStudentMWEE-Outcomes%20-%202008-2014%20-%20NOAA.pdf>.

Use this guidance and item bank to assess students' science learning, watershed literacy, and environmental stewardship outcomes.

> California B-WET Project Evaluation Guidance:

<http://sanctuaries.noaa.gov/education/evaluation/welcome.html>. Use this guide for tools and techniques helpful in making informed decisions about B-WET programming.

and

(2) National Evaluation: In addition to project evaluation, grantees will be asked to participate in data collection for the national B-WET evaluation. The B-WET national evaluation consists of two parts; part 1 is for all recipients of B-WET grants while part 2 is only for programs that work with teachers. The B-WET national evaluation is intended to monitor program implementation and outcomes on an ongoing basis. Results of this evaluation will be used to improve the B-WET program, document its value, and better tailor it to program audiences. Grantees with teacher participants will be able to view a summary of responses from their participating teachers. Success of this effort depends on grantee participation, so applicants are strongly encouraged to review the information about the national evaluation system (available here: <https://www.noaa.gov/office-education/bwet/grantee-resources/national-evaluation>) and consider how they can support it as part of their projects.

National Evaluation Part 1 (for all B-WET grantees): As part of this evaluation system, one individual from each recipient organization will be asked to voluntarily complete an online questionnaire once per year of the award. The questionnaire should be able to be completed within 30-60 minutes (depending on the nature of the program) and may require some internal data compilation.

National Evaluation Part 2 (for programs with teacher professional development): For projects that work extensively with teachers, the teacher-participants will be asked to complete one questionnaire at the close of their professional development and one after implementing MWEs with their students (at the end of the following school year). Each teacher questionnaire should be able to be completed within 30 minutes. Along with completing the recipient questionnaire, grantees will be asked to provide the email addresses of participating teachers (after notifying teachers that their email will be shared) and to encourage teachers to participate in the national evaluation.

B-WET grantees and teachers who respond to the questionnaires will remain anonymous to B-WET and NOAA. NOAA will only view the resulting data in aggregate at the national or regional level; however, grantees will receive a password-protected report link to allow them

to view data from teacher participants of their project in aggregate.

All applicants should provide information about how they plan to support this national evaluation system, incorporate it into the project timeline, and ensure responses from participating teachers as part of their application. Applicants may incorporate staff time required to complete the B-WET national evaluation in their budget proposal. More information, including all of the survey instruments, is available on the NOAA B-WET National Evaluation website here: <https://www.noaa.gov/office-education/bwet/grantee-resources/national-evaluation>. Grantees should review the information available and take this into consideration in the planning for their project evaluations. For example, grantees may not need to include questions that will be answered through the teacher instrument in their own evaluations.

Wherever possible grantees should try to incorporate participation in the evaluation system into existing requirements for professional development program completion. For example, on completion of the teacher professional development survey, teachers will receive some program incentive.

Note that this evaluation system is not intended to replace project level evaluation. While grantees will have access to their teacher's results from the evaluation system, the national evaluation may not provide the level of detail needed to fully understand, describe, and improve specific grant projects. Grantees are therefore encouraged to balance these needs within their planning and budgeting process. Additional information about this project, including background, FAQs, survey instruments, and suggested text for communicating with your teacher participants about this project, is available here: <https://www.noaa.gov/office-education/bwet/grantee-resources/national-evaluation>.

This data collection will be conducted in a manner consistent with OMB guidelines (OMB Control No 0648-0658).

### 2.3. BUDGET AND BUDGET JUSTIFICATION

In addition to the SF-424A Budget Information form, applicants should include a detailed budget justification, or budget narrative. In the budget narrative, include a per-teacher and per-student cost calculation for this project. Provide justification for all budget items in sufficient detail to enable the reviewers to evaluate the appropriateness of the funding requested. Also, applicants should complete and submit the B-WET budget template found at [http://olympiccoast.noaa.gov/ocean\\_literacy/bwet.html](http://olympiccoast.noaa.gov/ocean_literacy/bwet.html). All budget information submitted with the application should mirror the dollar amounts on required SF-424 and SF-424A

forms. All budget items should be rounded to the nearest dollar - NO CENTS.

Please refer to the budget NOAA Budget Narrative Guidance found on NOAA's Grants Office webpage <http://www.ago.noaa.gov/grants/training.html> for assistance.

For any equipment, defined in 2 CFR §200.33 as “tangible personal property (including information technology systems) having a useful life of more than one year and a per-unit acquisition cost which equals or exceeds the lesser of the capitalization level established by the non-Federal entity for financial statement purposes, or \$5,000,” a description of the item and associated costs is required, including a description of how it will be used in the project. For more information on equipment, see 2 CFR §200.313

Applicants must include the budgets and budget justifications of sub-awards and information supporting the price or cost of contracts. Information must include, to the extent known, the name of the entity receiving funds, the location of the entity receiving the funds (e.g., city, state, and Congressional district), the location of the primary place of performance under the contract/sub-award. All sub-awards and contracts must be made consistent with the requirements of 2 CFR §§200.330-200.332 for sub-awards, and 200.317-200.326 for procurements.

If applicants proposing indirect costs have a current federally-approved rate, a copy should be included with the budget narrative. Refer to Sections IV.F. of this Announcement for additional information about indirect costs.

Grant recipients will be required to attend a series of four 2-hour virtual Pacific Northwest Regional B-WET grantee meetings. The intention of these meetings will be to increase communications among Pacific Northwest B-WET recipients; and to share information about Pacific Northwest B-WET resources and projects, various approaches to MWEEs and solutions to challenges in implementing MWEEs for students and teacher professional development for MWEEs. Please be sure to budget for key personnel to attend these meetings.

## 2.4 SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

>Resumes (2 pages maximum for each major participant)

>Logic Model: An excellent example of a logic model can be found on the Office of National Marine Sanctuaries California B-WET website:

<https://sanctuaries.noaa.gov/news/bwet/welcome.html>.

>Letters of commitment /Partnerships: Letters of commitment from each partner that is making a significant contribution to the project should be included with the application package. This should include letters of commitment from school or school districts, NOAA partners, and community-based organizations that will lead with equity, as appropriate. Describe how partners possess community engagement expertise if the applying institution does not.

>Literature Cited: If references are cited, proposals should include a literature cited list.

>National Environmental Policy Act Questionnaire (if applicable): NOAA must analyze the potential environmental impacts, as required by the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), for applicant projects or proposals seeking NOAA federal funding opportunities. Consequently, if your project may trigger consideration under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), identify any impact the proposed work will have on the quality of the environment by completing the NOAA NEPA Questionnaire at the following link (<https://www.nepa.noaa.gov/docs/NOAA-Grants-Questionnaire-final.pdf>) and include it as an appendix to your application. This NEPA appendix does not count against the 15-page Project Description page limit. Refer to Section VI.B.5. of this Announcement for additional information about NEPA.

>Data Management Plan: Proposals submitted in response to this announcement must include a Data Management Plan of up to two pages. The data management plan does not count against the 15-page Project Description page limit. Please see section VI.B.f. for information on the data sharing section of the application. \*\*If environmental data collected/generated as part of the project are primarily for education and/or the practice of making observations using scientific techniques/methods (e.g. measuring salinity of water with a refractometer, measuring percent vegetative cover using a transect, etc.) and are not intended to be shared with scientists outside of the educational program, applicants may request permission not to make data publicly accessible and obtain approval from the Grant Manager listed below, if funded. In this case, this element of the application should consist of a paragraph (under the heading "Data Management Plan") describing the intended use of the data and that an exemption from data sharing is requested.

### C. Unique Entity Identifier and System for Award Management (SAM)

To enable the use of a universal identifier and to enhance the quality of information available to the public as required by the Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act, 31 U.S.C. 6101 note, to the extent applicable, any proposal awarded in response to this

announcement will be required to use the System for Award Management (SAM), which may be accessed online at <https://sam.gov/SAM/> . Applicants are also required to use the Dun and Bradstreet Universal Numbering System, as identified in Office of Management and Budget guidance published at 2 CFR Parts 25, found at <https://go.usa.gov/xPTZg>. Guidance on obtaining a DUNS Number may be found at: <https://www.grants.gov/applicants/organization-registration/step-1-obtain-duns-number.html>

Applicants and recipients are required to continue to maintain an active SAM registration with current information at all times during which it has an active Federal award or an application or plan under consideration by a Federal awarding agency. The Federal awarding agency may not make a Federal award to an applicant until the applicant has complied with all applicable unique entity identifier and SAM requirements and, if an applicant has not fully complied with the requirements by the time the Federal awarding agency is ready to make a Federal award, the Federal awarding agency may determine that the applicant is not qualified to receive a Federal award and use that determination as a basis for making a Federal award to another applicant.

#### D. Submission Dates and Times

Electronic applications must be received by 8:59 p.m. Pacific Time /11:59 p.m. Eastern Time) on February 4, 2021 to be considered for funding. Applications received after the deadline will be rejected without further consideration.

Applicants are required to apply online through Grants.gov. For applications submitted through Grants.gov, a date and time receipt indication is included and will be the basis of determining timeliness.

Additional information about Grants.gov submissions:

Applicants are strongly encouraged not to wait until the application deadline date to begin the application process through Grants.gov. Validation or rejection of your application by Grants.gov involves receipt of multiple email messages and may take up to 2 business days after submission. Because first-time registration with Grants.gov can take up to three weeks or more, it is strongly recommended that this registration process be completed as soon as possible. Also, even if an applicant has registered with Grants.gov previously, the applicant's password may have expired or their registration may need to be renewed prior to submitting to Grants.gov. Grants.gov will not accept submissions if the applicant has not been authorized or if credentials are incorrect. Authorizations and credential corrections can take several days to establish. Please consider these notes in developing your submission timeline.

If you experience a Grants.gov “systems issue” (technical problems or glitches with the Grants.gov website) that you believe threatens your ability to complete a submission before an applicable funding cycle deadline, please (i) print any error message received; and (ii) call the Grants.gov Contact Center at 1-800-518-4726 for immediate assistance. Ensure that you obtain a case number regarding your communications with Grants.gov. Please note: problems with an applicant organization’s computer system or equipment are not considered “systems issues.” Similarly, an applicant’s failure to: (i) complete the required registration, (ii) ensure that a registered Authorized Organization Representative submits the application, or (iii) receive an email message from Grants.gov are not considered systems issues. A Grants.gov “systems issue” is an issue occurring in connection with the operations of Grants.gov system, such as the temporary loss of service by Grants.gov due to unexpected volume of traffic or failure of information technology systems, both of which are highly unlikely. In the event of a confirmed “systems issue,” NOAA may allow more time for applicant submission due to system problems at Grants.gov at the time of application submission that are beyond the control of the applicant.

#### E. Intergovernmental Review

Applications submitted by state and local governments are subject to the provisions of Executive Order (E.O.) 12372, Intergovernmental Review of Federal Programs. Any applicant submitting an application for funding is required to complete item 16 on SF-424 regarding clearance by the State Single Point of Contact (SPOC) established as a result of E.O. 12372. To find out about and comply with a State's process under EO 12372, the names, addresses and phone numbers of participating SPOCs are listed in the Office of Management and Budget's home page at:

<https://www.whitehouse.gov/wp-content/uploads/2019/02/SPOC-February-2019.pdf>.

#### F. Funding Restrictions

Indirect Costs - The budget may include an amount for indirect costs if your organization has an established indirect cost rate with the Federal government. If indirect costs are requested, indirect-cost-rate agreements must be included for the applicant organization and the negotiated rate must be requested. If an applicant does not have an indirect cost rate and wants to include indirect costs, the applicant has up to 90 days after the award start date to submit an indirect cost proposal or cost allocation plan. Under 2 C.F.R. § 200.414 “Indirect (F&A) Costs,” any applicant that has never received a negotiated indirect cost rate may elect to charge a de minimis rate of 10% of modified total direct costs which may be used indefinitely. Costs must be consistently charged as either indirect or direct costs, but may not be double charged or inconsistently charged as both pursuant to 2 C.F.R.

§ 200.403 “Factors affecting allowability of costs.” If chosen, this methodology once elected must be used consistently for all Federal awards until such time as a cooperator chooses to negotiate for a rate, which the non-Federal entity may apply to do at any time. The negotiation and approval of a rate is subject to the procedures required by NOAA and the Department of Commerce Standard Terms and Conditions (see Section VI.B. of this announcement). The NOAA contact for indirect or facilities and administrative costs is: Lamar Revis, Grants Officer; NOAA Grants Management Division; 1325 East West Highway, 9th Floor; Silver Spring, Maryland 20910; lamar.revis@noaa.gov.

Construction is not an allowable activity under this program. Therefore, applications will not be accepted for construction projects. This includes the construction of new buildings, completion of shell space in existing buildings, renovation or rehabilitation of existing buildings, and construction or development of real property infrastructure improvements (e.g., site preparation, utilities, streets, curbs, sidewalks, parking lots, other streetscaping improvements, etc.). Alteration activities in support of an education project, such as the renovation of an educational exhibit or installation of a schoolyard garden space, would likely not be considered construction.

All costs must be reasonable, allowable, and allocable. Details about allowable costs can be found in 2 CFR part 200, Subpart E “Cost Principles.”

#### G. Other Submission Requirements

Not applicable.

### V. Application Review Information

#### A. Evaluation Criteria

Evaluation Criteria for Priority 1:

##### 1.Importance and/or relevance and applicability of proposal to the program goals (20 points)

This criterion ascertains whether there is intrinsic value in the proposed work and/or relevance to NOAA, federal, regional, state, or local activities. The projects importance and/or relevance and applicability of the proposal to the program goals will be scored using the following measures:

Connection to watershed (5 points): Does the project make a direct connection to the watershed, coastal or ocean ecosystem through locally relevant science and stewardship

activities? Does it address how actions within that system can affect the environment?

Project need (5 points): How well does the applicant demonstrate a need for the project?

Likelihood to succeed (5 points): What is the likelihood of the proposed educational and environmental activities to improve the general understanding and stewardship of the environment?

Local contact (5 points): Does the experience use the local context for learning and focus around a watershed issue or phenomenon pertaining to Pacific Northwest region including its local population, geography, culture, and natural, financial, and human resources?

## 2. Technical merit (50 points)

This criterion assesses whether the approach is technically sound and/or innovative, if the methods are appropriate, and whether there are clear project goals and objectives. Technical merit will be scored using the following measures:

Objectives (4 points): Are the objectives defined in the proposal focused on the stated outcome(s)? How well does the applicant demonstrate that the objectives can be achieved within the proposed project period?

Target audience (6 points): Does the applicant define the audience(s) that will be reached, including their needs and limitations? Does the applicant include data to justify and support their description of the targeted communities? Are the targeted communities historically marginalized groups, students of color, students from low-income families, or those that are more likely to lose environmental education within their local school districts?

COVID-19 Challenges (4 points): In light of the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic, does the applicant clearly define pandemic-induced challenges that may be faced and do they describe reasonable approaches to working around, or overcoming, the challenge? Does the project include tools for teachers to implement MWEEs on their school grounds as an alternative to offsite field experiences?

Teacher Professional Development that will ultimately support MWEEs for their Students (10 points): How well does the project support teachers' abilities to implement all essential elements of the MWEE, as described in the MWEE definition in section I.A.b.? Does the project demonstrate at least 30 hours of long-term professional development for teachers,

that increases teachers' knowledge and awareness of environmental issues, models the MWEE framework, allows for adequate instructional time, provides ongoing teacher support and appropriate incentives, and meets jurisdictional guidelines? Does the proposal include a practical plan for how teachers will be involved in implementing watershed education with their students?

**Systemic MWEE Implementation (10 points):** Does the proposal include a practical plan of action to show how teacher professional development will ultimately lead to systemic MWEEs where the entire student population in one or more grades within a school district participate in all MWEE elements as defined in section I.A.b (issue definition, outdoor field experiences, synthesis and conclusions, and stewardship action projects)?

**Stewardship (5 points):** Does the project provide guidance and resources for teachers to prepare them to offer their students stewardship activities that directly address the defined issue or phenomenon they are investigating?

**Alignment to educational learning standards (3 points):** Does the applicant demonstrate how the project is aligned to state and / or local learning standards? Does the proposal include details about where the project fits in the scope and sequence of school district curriculum?

**Alignment to NOAA and environmental literacy resources (3 points):** Does the applicant demonstrate how their project is aligned and supports the goals and strategies of the NOAA Education Strategic Plan (<https://www.noaa.gov/education/explainers/noaa-education-strategic-plan>)? Does the proposal provide detail on how they will incorporate NOAA assets, including personnel, curriculum, or other resources? Is the project aligned with environmental literacy principles, such as the Ocean and Climate Literacy (<https://oceanservice.noaa.gov/education/literacy.html>), where appropriate?

**Evaluation (5 points):** Does the applicant provide an effective project-level evaluation plan, appropriate to the maturity and scale of the project, to determine the project's effectiveness, document successes towards meeting the objectives, and inform decisions about future programming? Does the plan describe how the evaluation will be used? Does the plan define what will be evaluated and the types of evaluation planned? Are the methods for implementing the evaluation appropriate? Does the applicant discuss how the B-WET National Evaluation system will be incorporated into their plans for project evaluation?

### 3. Overall qualifications of applicants (15 points)

This criterion ascertains whether the applicant possesses the necessary education,

experience, training, facilities, and administrative resources to accomplish the project. Overall qualifications of applicants will be scored using the following measures, which are weighted holistically:

**Experience (5 points):** Does the proposal include resumes of the staff members involved in the project? Does the applicant demonstrate capability and experience in successfully completing similar K-12 environmental education projects in the Pacific Northwest? Does the applicant demonstrate knowledge of the target audience?

**Partners (5 points):** Does the applicant describe the roles and responsibilities of the proposed partners? Are there letters of commitment from each listed partner? Does the applicant document collaborations with schools or school systems (if they are not one such organization)? If a NOAA partner is listed in the proposal, is there a letter of commitment from that NOAA partner?

**Community-based organizations (5 points):** Is the applicant or any of their partners a community-based organization that is run by and/or serves marginalized groups, particularly minority communities? Does the application describe how the applicant or their partners' expertise and membership position them to ensure equity and inclusion in environmental literacy planning activities? Does the applicant describe the qualifications and experience of staff and/or partners related to competently engaging members of diverse cultures, providing expertise on existing environmental issues, creating innovative solutions to the challenges, and/or enhancing the local context/cultural relevance throughout the proposed programming?

#### 4. Project costs (10 points)

This criterion evaluates the budget to determine if it is realistic and commensurate with the project needs and time frame. Project costs will be scored using the following measures, which are weighted holistically:

**Reasonable (5 points):** Does the applicant adequately justify the proposed budget request and is the budget request reasonable for the number of students, teachers, and/or participants being reached and represent a good return on investment?

**Direct programming (5 points):** Is there a significant percentage of the budget directly related to bringing students and teachers in contact with the environment? Are requested funds for salaries and fringe benefits only for those personnel who are directly involved in implementing the project?

## 5. Outreach and education (5 points)

This criterion assesses whether the project provides a focused and effective education and outreach strategy regarding NOAA's mission to protect the Nation's natural resources. Outreach and education will be scored using the following measures, which are weighted holistically:

Outreach (3 points): Does the proposal describe opportunities for outreach and education around the value of MWEEs and environmental education at events that engage school boards, public officials, parents, community organizations, other schools, or the media?

Peer-to-peer sharing (2 points): Does the proposal describe opportunities for peer-to-peer sharing for teachers, educators, and school administrators?

### Evaluation Criteria for Priority 2:

#### 1. Importance and/or relevance and applicability of proposal to the program goals (20 points)

This criterion ascertains whether there is intrinsic value in the proposed work and/or relevance to NOAA, federal, regional, state, or local activities. The projects importance and/or relevance and applicability of the proposal to the program goals will be scored using the following measures:

Connection to watershed (5 points): Does the proposed project enable the implementation of MWEEs as part of the project or create capacity for future implementation of MWEEs?

Project need (5 points): Does the applicant demonstrate a need for the project?

Likelihood to succeed (5 points): Does the applicant include the appropriate partners to ensure that the deliverables of the grant can be executed? Does this list of partners represent the full set of voices for this work to be successful and sustainable? (5 points)

Local contact (5 points): Does the proposed project support experiences that use the local context for learning and focus around a watershed issue or phenomenon pertaining to Pacific Northwest region including its local population, geography, culture, and natural, financial, and human resources?

## 2. Technical merit (50 points)

This criterion assesses whether the approach is technically sound and/or innovative, if the methods are appropriate, and whether there are clear project goals and objectives. Technical merit will be scored using the following measures:

**Objectives (5 points):** Are the objectives defined in the proposal focused on the stated outcome(s)? Does the applicant demonstrate that the objectives can be achieved within the proposed project period?

**Target audience (10 points):** Does the applicant define the audience(s) that will be reached, including their needs and limitations? Does the applicant include data to justify and support their description of the targeted communities? Are the targeted communities historically marginalized groups, students of color, students from low-income families, or those that are more likely to lose environmental education within their local school districts?

**COVID-19 Challenges (12 points):** In light of the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic, does the applicant clearly define pandemic-induced challenges that may be faced and do they describe reasonable approaches to working around, or overcoming, the challenge? Does the project address the needs, challenges, and inequities that are being exacerbated by the COVID-19 pandemic? Does the project address the challenges environmental education providers face in engaging with schools and meaningfully reaching students and teachers?

**Methods (12 points):** Does the applicant describe the proposed creative or alternative approach to address the challenge (e.g. capacity building within the school system or within an environmental education provider organization)? Does the applicant articulate their specific methods for capacity building and do they define how these will ensure environmental education providers and/or teachers can implement successful MWEs while adapting to the changing and challenging environment under COVID-19? Does the applicant include members of the targeted audience in the planning and implementation processes proposed? Does the applicant incorporate best practices from existing publications into their approach to engaging with schools during the pandemic, equitably reopening schools during and after the pandemic, and/or addressing the use of outdoor spaces for education?

**Alignment to educational learning standards (3 points):** Does the applicant demonstrate how the project is aligned to state and / or local learning standards? Does the proposal include details about where the project fits in the scope and sequence of school district curriculum?

Alignment to NOAA and environmental literacy resources (3 points): Does the applicant demonstrate how their project is aligned and supports the goals and strategies of the NOAA Education Strategic Plan (<https://www.noaa.gov/education/explainers/noaa-education-strategic-plan>)? Does the proposal provide detail on how they will incorporate NOAA assets, including personnel, curriculum, or other resources? Is the project aligned with environmental literacy principles (e.g. Ocean and Climate Literacy, <https://oceanservice.noaa.gov/education/literacy.html>) where appropriate?

Evaluation (5 points): Does the applicant provide an effective project-level evaluation plan, appropriate to the maturity and scale of the project, to determine the project's effectiveness, document successes towards meeting the objectives, and inform decisions about future programming? Does the plan describe how the evaluation will be used? Does the plan define what will be evaluated and the types of evaluation planned? Are the methods for implementing the evaluation appropriate? Does the applicant discuss how the B-WET National Evaluation system will be incorporated into their plans for project evaluation?

### 3. Overall qualifications of applicants (15 points)

This criterion ascertains whether the applicant possesses the necessary education, experience, training, facilities, and administrative resources to accomplish the project. Overall qualifications of applicants will be scored using the following measures, which are weighted holistically:

Experience (5 points): Does the applicant show the capability and experience in successfully completing similar projects? Does the applicant document collaborations with schools or school systems? Does the applicant demonstrate an understanding of the target audience?

Partners (5 points): Does the applicant describe the roles and responsibilities of the proposed partners? Are there letters of commitment from each listed partner? Does the proposal verify the communities' need and participation through letters of support from both the applicant's community-based partner organizations and the targeted schools, school district, or school systems (if these are not the applicants themselves)? If a NOAA partner is listed in the proposal, is there a letter of commitment from that NOAA partner?

Community-based organizations (5 points): Is the applicant or any of their partners a

community-based organization that is run by and/or serves marginalized groups, particularly minority communities? Does the application describe how the applicant or their partners' expertise and membership position them to ensure equity and inclusion in environmental literacy planning activities or bridge pathways between in-school MWEE implementation and complementary out-of-school activities? Does the applicant describe the qualifications and experience of staff and/or partners related to competently engaging members of diverse cultures, providing expertise on existing environmental issues, creating innovative solutions to the challenges, and/or enhancing the local context/cultural relevance throughout the proposed programming? Does the applicant describe a mutually-beneficial partnership that uses the strengths of the community-based organizations, includes shared goals and resources, communicates effectively, and collaborates on decision-making? Is adequate compensation provided to the applicant's community-based partners and community members for the effort they are contributing to the project?

#### 4. Project costs (10 points)

This criterion evaluates the budget to determine if it is realistic and commensurate with the project needs and time frame. Project costs will be scored using the following measures, which are weighted holistically:

Reasonable (5 points): Does the applicant adequately justify the proposed budget request and is the budget request reasonable for the number of students, teachers, and/or participants being reached and represent a good return on investment?

Direct programming (5 points): Is there a significant percentage of the budget directly related to bringing students and teachers in contact with the environment? Are requested funds for salaries and fringe benefits only for those personnel who are directly involved in implementing the project?

#### 5. Outreach and education (5 points)

This criterion assesses whether the project provides a focused and effective education and outreach strategy regarding NOAA's mission to protect the Nation's natural resources. Outreach and education will be scored using the following measures, which are weighted holistically:

Outreach (3 points): Does the applicant include plans for sharing best practices and lessons learned from this project?

Peer-to-peer sharing (2 points): Does the target audience share their findings, experiences, or results to their peers or their community?

#### B. Review and Selection Process

If an application is received sufficiently in advance of the deadline, NOAA in its sole discretion may be able to inform an applicant of any missing documentation, if time and resources permit. This review is allowed but not assured, given limited resources.

After the application period has closed, we will screen received applications to ensure that they were received by the deadline date (see IV.D. Submission Dates and Times); were submitted by an eligible applicant (see III.A. Eligibility Information); address one of the priorities (see I.B. Program Priorities); include required content (see IV.B. Content and Form of Application); and meet the federal funding requirements (II.A. Funding Availability). If your application does not conform to the requirements and the deadline for submission has passed, the application will be rejected without further consideration. NOAA, in its sole discretion, may continue the review process for applications with non-substantive issues that may be easily rectified or cured.

Applications responsive to this solicitation will be evaluated by a two-part review process; a preliminary technical review and a panel review. Both phases are conducted by the same set of private and/or public sector expert reviewers. Each review phase is described in detail below.

##### Technical Review:

The purpose of the technical review is to evaluate each proposal's technical merit via individual evaluations of the proposals. Each application will be reviewed by a minimum of 3 reviewers. Reviewers provide comments (which are shared with applicants after the competition has concluded) and assign scores to the applications based on the evaluation criteria in Section V.A. of this federal funding opportunity.

The Federal Program Officer will establish a preliminary rank order based on the individual reviewers' ratings.

This preliminary rank order will be used in the subsequent panel meeting where final funding recommendations are made.

##### Panel Review:

A review panel will convene virtually to evaluate the rankings and comments from the Technical Review and discuss the proposals as a group. Reviewers must individually submit

final ranking to the B-WET Program Manager by the end of the panel meeting. If one or more non-Federal reviewers are used, no consensus advice will be given by the review panel members. The reviewers' final ranking will be averaged for each application to produce a rank order of the proposals. This establishes a final recommendation of rank order for funding.

In the event that there are two or more projects tied in the final rank order that are competing for the final available funds, the technical review scores will determine the rank order. If a tie persists beyond this, all tied projects will be given equal consideration by the Selecting Official. The Selecting Official will resolve any ties by selecting projects based on the selection factors listed in Section V.C. of this federal funding opportunity.

NOAA may select all, some, or none of the applications, or part of any application, ask applicants to work together or combine projects, defer applications to the future, or reallocate funds to different funding categories, to the extent authorized. Applicants may be asked to modify objectives, work plans or budgets, and provide supplemental information required by the agency prior to the award. The exact amount of funds to be awarded, the final scope of activities, the project duration, and specific NOAA cooperative involvement with the activities of each project will be determined in pre-award negotiations among the applicant, the NOAA Grants Office, and NOAA program staff.

The NOAA Grants Officer will review financial and grants administration aspects of a proposed award, including conducting an assessment of the risk posed by the applicant in accordance with 2 C.F.R. 200.205. Refer to Section VI.B.10., Review of Risk, for further information. In addition to reviewing repositories of government-wide eligibility, qualifications or financial integrity information, the risk assessment conducted by NOAA may consider items such as the financial stability of an applicant, quality of the applicant's management systems, an applicant's history of performance, previous audit reports and audit findings concerning the applicant and the applicant's ability to effectively implement statutory, regulatory, or other requirements imposed on non-federal entities.

Upon review of these factors, if appropriate, specific award conditions that respond to the degree of risk may be applied by the NOAA Grants Officer pursuant to 2 C.F.R. 200.207. In addition, NOAA reserves the right to reject an application in its entirety where information is uncovered that raises a significant risk with respect to the responsibility or suitability of an applicant. The final approval of selected applications and issuance of awards will be by the NOAA Grants Officer. The award decision of the Grants Officer is final.

### C. Selection Factors

The Pacific Northwest B-WET Panel ratings will be provided in rank order to the Selecting Official for final funding recommendations. The Selecting Official shall award in the rank order unless the proposal is justified to be selected out of rank order based on the following factors:

1. Availability of funding;
2. Balance/distribution of funds:
  - a. geographically
  - b. by type of institutions
  - c. by type of partners
  - d. by research areas
  - e. by project types
3. Duplication of other projects funded or considered for funding by NOAA/federal agencies;
4. Program priorities and policy factors as set out in Section I.A. and I.B.;
5. Applicant's prior award performance;
6. Partnerships with/Participation of targeted groups;
7. Adequacy of information necessary for NOAA staff to make a NEPA determination and draft necessary documentation before recommendations for funding are made to the Grants Officer.

The Selecting Official may negotiate the funding level of the proposal. The Selecting Official makes final recommendations for awards to NOAA's Grants Management Division who is authorized to obligate funds.

#### D. Anticipated Announcement and Award Dates

Subject to the availability of funds, review of proposals will occur during spring 2021. Applicants may receive communications to negotiate a potential award in late spring 2021. Funding is expected to begin August 1, 2021. The project start date should not begin before August 1, 2021.

## VI. Award Administration Information

### A. Award Notices

Successful applicants will receive electronic notification that the application has been funded from the NOAA Grants Management Division. This notification will be sent by email from NOAA's online grants management system, Grants Online, to the institution's Authorizing Official. The official notification of funding, signed by a NOAA Grants Officer,

is the authorizing document that allows the project to begin.

The official notice of award is the Standard Form CD-450, Financial Assistance Award, issued by the NOAA Grants Officer electronically through NOAA's online grants management system, Grants Online. The CD-450 award cover page is viewable at [https://connection.commerce.gov/sites/connection.commerce.gov/files/media/files/2016/cd-450\\_april\\_2017.pdf](https://connection.commerce.gov/sites/connection.commerce.gov/files/media/files/2016/cd-450_april_2017.pdf). The Internet Explorer browser should be used with Grants Online. Also, each recipient will need to have a U.S. Treasury Automated Standard Application for Payment (ASAP) account in order to draw funds electronically.

The Department of Commerce Financial Assistance Standard Terms and Conditions will apply to awards in this program. A current version of this document is available at <https://go.usa.gov/xRh9d>. These terms will be provided in the award package in Grants Online at <https://grantsonline.rdc.noaa.gov/>. In addition, award documents provided by NOAA in the Grants Online award package may contain special award conditions unique to this program and the applicant's project, including conditions that may limit the use of funds for activities due to outstanding environmental compliance requirements and may lead to modification of the project's scope of work. These special award conditions may also include other compliance requirements for the award, such as due diligence documentation, and will be applied on a case-by-case basis. Applicants are strongly encouraged to review award documents carefully before accepting a Federal award to ensure they are fully aware of the relevant terms that have been placed on the award.

Successful applicants may be asked to modify objectives, work plans, or budgets prior to final approval of an award. The exact amount of funds to be awarded, the final scope of activities, the collaboration duration, and specific NOAA cooperative involvement in the activities of each partnership will be determined in pre-award negotiations among the applicant, the NOAA Grants Office and the Office of National Marine Sanctuaries. Project activities should not be initiated in the expectation of Federal funding until a notice of award document is received from the NOAA Grants Office.

Unsuccessful applicants will be notified that their proposal was not recommended for funding (declined) or was not reviewed because it did not meet the minimum requirements prescribed in IV.B (Content and Form of Applications).

## B. Administrative and National Policy Requirements

### a. PRE-AWARD NOTIFICATION

The Department of Commerce Pre-Award Notification Requirements for Grants and Cooperative Agreements contained in the Federal Register notice of December 30, 2014 (79

FR 78390), are applicable to this solicitation and may be accessed online at <https://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/FR-2014-12-30/pdf/2014-30297.pdf>.

**b. UNIFORM ADMINISTRATIVE REQUIREMENTS, COST PRINCIPLES, AND AUDIT REQUIREMENTS**

Through 2 C.F.R. § 1327.101, the Department of Commerce adopted Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards at 2 C.F.R. Part 200, which apply to awards in this program. Refer to <https://www.grants.gov/learn-grants/grant-policies/omb-uniform-guidance-2014.html>.

**c. THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE STANDARD TERMS**

Successful applicants who accept a NOAA award under this solicitation will be bound by Department of Commerce Financial Assistance Standard Terms and Conditions. This document will be provided in the award package in NOAA's Grants Online system at <https://grantsonline.rdc.noaa.gov/> and a current version may be viewed at <https://go.usa.gov/xRh9d>. In addition, NOAA Administrative Terms will be incorporated into an award. A version is available at: [https://www.ago.noaa.gov/grants/docs/noaa\\_standard\\_conditions.pdf](https://www.ago.noaa.gov/grants/docs/noaa_standard_conditions.pdf). These terms are subject to updating prior to award.

**d. LIMITATION OF LIABILITY**

Funding for programs listed in this notice is contingent upon the availability of appropriations. Applicants are hereby given notice that funds may not have been appropriated yet for the programs listed in this notice. NOAA or the Department of Commerce are not responsible for proposal preparation costs. Publication of this announcement does not oblige NOAA to award any specific project or to obligate any available funds.

**e. NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACT (NEPA)**

NOAA must analyze the potential environmental impacts, as required by the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), for applicant projects or proposals which are seeking NOAA federal funding opportunities. Detailed information on NOAA compliance with NEPA can be found at the following NOAA NEPA Web site at [www.nepa.noaa.gov](http://www.nepa.noaa.gov), including our NOAA Administrative Order 216-6 for NEPA at [http://www.nepa.noaa.gov/NAO216\\_6.pdf](http://www.nepa.noaa.gov/NAO216_6.pdf) and the Council on Environmental Quality implementation regulations website at <https://energy.gov/nepa/downloads/40-cfr-1500-1508-ceq-regulations-implementing-procedural-provisions-nepa>.

Consequently, applicants may be asked to provide detailed information on the activities to be

conducted, locations, sites, number and species expected to be caught, species and habitat to be affected, possible construction activities, and any environmental concerns that may exist (e.g., the use and disposal of hazardous or toxic chemicals, introduction of non-indigenous species, impacts to endangered and threatened species, aquaculture projects, and impacts to coral reef systems). In addition to providing specific information that will serve as the basis for any required impact analyses, applicants may also be requested to assist NOAA in drafting of an environmental assessment, if NOAA determines an assessment is required.

Applicants will also be required to cooperate with NOAA in identifying feasible measures to reduce or avoid any identified adverse environmental impacts of their proposal. The failure to do so shall be grounds for not selecting an application. In some cases if additional information is required after an application is selected, funds can be withheld by the grants officer under a special award condition requiring the recipient to submit additional environmental compliance information sufficient to enable NOAA to make an assessment of any impacts that a project may have on the environment.

If your project may trigger consideration under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), identify any impact the proposed work will have on the quality of the environment by completing the NOAA NEPA Questionnaire at the following link (<https://www.nepa.noaa.gov/docs/NOAA-Grants-Questionnaire-final.pdf>) and include it as an appendix to your application.

This NEPA appendix does not count against the 15-page Project Description page limit.

#### f. DATA MANAGEMENT PLAN

Proposals submitted in response to this announcement must include a Data Management Plan of up to two pages. This Data Management Plan does not count against the 15-page Project Description page limit. The Data Management Plan should be aligned with the NOAA B-WET Data Management Guidance provided below and will be considered as part of proposal review. NOAA may, at its own discretion, make publicly visible the Data Management Plan from funded proposals, or use information from the Data Management Plan to produce a formal metadata record and include that metadata in a Catalog to indicate the pending availability of new data. Proposal submitters are hereby advised that the final pre-publication manuscripts of scholarly articles produced entirely or primarily with NOAA funding will be required to be submitted to NOAA Institutional Repository after acceptance, and no later than upon publication. Such manuscripts shall be made publicly available by NOAA one year after publication by the journal.

#### Data Management Guidance to Applicants

The NOAA B-WET program has developed this guidance to help grant applicants plan to share quality environmental data collected as part of their B-WET funded projects, where applicable. Environmental Data are defined by NOAA Administrative Order (NAO) 212-15: Management of Environmental Data and Information as recorded and derived observations and measurements of the physical, chemical, biological, geological, and geophysical properties and conditions of the oceans, atmosphere, space environment, sun, and solid earth, as well as correlative data such as socio-economic data, related documentation, and metadata. Digital audio or video recordings of environmental phenomena (such as animal sounds or undersea video) are included in this definition. Numerical model outputs are included in this definition, particularly if they are used to support the conclusion of a peer-reviewed publication. Data collected in a laboratory or other controlled environment, such as measurements of animals and chemical processes, are included in this definition.

Environmental data and information collected or created under NOAA grants or cooperative agreements must be made discoverable by and accessible to the general public, in a timely fashion (typically within two years), free of charge or at no more than the cost of reproduction, unless an exemption is granted by the NOAA Program. Data should be available in at least one machine-readable format, preferably a widely-used or open-standard format, and should also be accompanied by machine-readable documentation (metadata), preferably based on widely-used or international standards.

Proposals submitted in response to this announcement must include a Data Management Plan of up to two pages describing how these requirements apply to the proposed project and will be satisfied. The Data Management Plan will be considered as part of the proposal review. Note that the Federal Program Officer may require revisions to the applicant's Data Management Plan prior to recommending the application for funding.

Contents: A typical Data Management Plan should include descriptions of the types of environmental data and information expected to be created during the course of the project; the tentative date by which data will be shared; the standards to be used for data/metadata format and content; methods for providing data access; approximate total volume of data to be collected; and prior experience in making such data accessible. The plan should describe or reference the data quality control techniques that will be used or note that the data will not be quality controlled. Data that is not quality controlled should include a description on the limitations of the data or an indication of degree of uncertainty.

Applicant Data Management Plans should be aligned with the following Data Management Guidance.

Data Accessibility: The NOAA B-WET program recommends that public access to grant-produced data be enabled via an existing publicly accessible online data server at the funded institution is to be used to host these data (describe in application); or a public data repository appropriate to this scientific domain (describe in application). (Options could include: The GLOBE Program - <http://www.globe.gov/> , CoCoRaHS Community - <http://www.cocorahs.org/> ; Dryad - <http://datadryad.org/stash> , Figshare - <http://figshare.com/> , DataVerse - <http://dataverse.org/> , or Pangaea - <http://www.pangaea.de/>. Funding recipients will establish their own data hosting capability (describe in proposal).

\*\*If environmental data collected/generated as part of the project are primarily for education and/or the practice of making observations using scientific techniques/methods (e.g. measuring salinity of water with a refractometer, measuring percent vegetative cover using a transect, etc.) and are not intended to be shared with scientists outside of the educational program, applicants may request permission not to make data publicly accessible and obtain approval from the Grant Manager listed below, if funded. In this case, this element of the application should consist of a paragraph (under the heading "Data Management Plan") describing the intended use of the data and that an exemption from data sharing is requested.

If environmental data collected/generated as part of the project are for purposes beyond education and/or the practice of making observations using scientific techniques/methods, applicants should describe (up to 2 pages, under the heading "Data Management Plan") how data will be shared, based on the following guidance:

Technical recommendations: The NOAA B-WET program does not offer specific technical guidance. Applicants should describe their proposed approach. Use of open-standard formats and methods is encouraged.

Resources: Proposals are permitted to include the costs of data preparation, accessibility, or archiving in their budgets.

#### Questions Regarding This Guidance

Responsible NOAA Official for questions regarding this guidance and for verifying accessibility of data produced by funding recipients:

Kevin Grant  
NOAA Pacific Northwest B-WET Grant Manager  
NOAA Olympic Coast National Marine Sanctuary  
[Kevin.Grant@noaa.gov](mailto:Kevin.Grant@noaa.gov)

360-406-2078

Jacqueline Laverdure  
NOAA Pacific Northwest B-WET Program Coordinator  
NOAA Olympic Coast National Marine Sanctuary  
Jacqueline.Laverdure@noaa.gov  
360-406-2084

Bronwen Rice  
NOAA B- WET National Coordinator  
NOAA Office of Education  
Bronwen.Rice@noaa.gov  
202-604-1388

g. Freedom of Information Act (FOIA)

In the event that an application contains information or data that you do not want disclosed prior to award for purposes other than the evaluation of the application, mark each page containing such information or data with the words "Privileged, Confidential, Commercial, or Financial Information - Limited Use" at the top of the page to assist NOAA in making disclosure determinations. DOC regulations implementing the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA), 5 U.S.C 552, are found at 15 C.F.R. Part 4, which sets forth rules for DOC to make requested materials, information, and records publicly available under FOIA. The contents of funded applications may be subject to requests for release under the FOIA. Based on the information provided by the applicant, the confidentiality of the content of funded applications will be maintained to the maximum extent permitted by law. In addition, the applicant acknowledges and understands that information and data contained in applications for financial assistance, as well as information and data contained in financial, performance and other reports submitted by applicants, may be used by the Department of Commerce in conducting reviews and evaluations of its financial assistance programs. For this purpose, applicant information and data may be accessed, reviewed and evaluated by Department of Commerce employees, other Federal employees, and also by Federal agents and contractors, and/or by non-Federal personnel, all of whom enter into appropriate conflict of interest and confidentiality agreements covering the use of such information. As may be provided in the terms and conditions of a specific financial assistance award, applicants are expected to support program reviews and evaluations by submitting required financial and performance information and data in an accurate and timely manner, and by cooperating with Department of Commerce and external program evaluators. In accordance with 2 C.F.R. § 200.303(e), applicants are reminded that they must take reasonable measures to safeguard protected

personally identifiable information and other confidential or sensitive personal or business information created or obtained in connection with a Department of Commerce financial assistance award.

#### h. Certification of Tax Liability

If required under Federal appropriations law, an authorized representative of the selected applicant(s) may be required to provide certain pre-award certifications regarding federal felony and federal criminal tax convictions, unpaid federal tax assessments, and delinquent federal tax returns.

#### i. Review of Risk

After applications are proposed for funding by the Selecting Official, the Grants Office will perform administrative reviews, including an assessment of risk posed by the applicant under 2 C.F.R. 200.205. These may include assessments of the financial stability of an applicant and the quality of the applicant's management systems, history of performance, and the applicant's ability to effectively implement statutory, regulatory, or other requirements imposed on non-Federal entities. Special conditions that address any risks determined to exist may be applied. Applicants may submit comments to the Federal Awardee Performance and Integrity Information System (FAPIIS) about any information included in the system about their organization for consideration by the awarding agency.

#### j. Minority Serving Institutions

The Department of Commerce/National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (DOC/NOAA) is strongly committed to increasing the participation of Minority Serving Institutions (MSIs), i.e., historically black colleges and universities, Hispanic-serving institutions, Tribal colleges and universities, Alaskan Native and Native Hawaiian institutions, and institutions that work in underserved communities.

### C. Reporting

Unless otherwise specified by terms of the award, performance and financial reports are to be submitted semi-annually in accordance with 2 C.F.R. 200.327-.329 and the Department of Commerce Financial Assistance Standard Terms and Conditions (see Section VI.B. of this announcement), and must be submitted no later than 30 days following the end of each 6-month period. Reports shall be submitted electronically via the NOAA Grants Online system (<https://grantsonline.rdc.noaa.gov>).

#### a. Financial Reports - Information about federal financial reports is available at:

<http://www.corporateservices.noaa.gov/grantsonline/Documents/Grantees/Manuals/FederalFinancialReports.pdf>

b. Performance/Progress Reports - Suggested content and guidance related to Pacific B-WET performance/progress reports can be found here:

[http://sanctuaries.noaa.gov/news/bwet/docs/grant\\_report\\_format.pdf](http://sanctuaries.noaa.gov/news/bwet/docs/grant_report_format.pdf)

c. The Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act, 31 U.S.C. 6101 Note, includes a requirement for awardees of applicable federal grants to report information about first-tier subawards and executive compensation under federal assistance awards. All awardees of applicable grants and cooperative agreements are required to report to the Federal Subaward Reporting System (FSRS) available at [www.FSRS.gov](http://www.FSRS.gov) on all subawards of \$25,000 and over. See 2 C.F.R. Part 170.

## VII. Agency Contacts

For questions regarding Pacific Northwest B-WET Program or the application process, you may contact:

Kevin Grant  
Pacific Northwest B-WET Program Grant Manager  
[Kevin.Grant@noaa.gov](mailto:Kevin.Grant@noaa.gov)  
360-406-2078

Jacqueline Laverdure  
Pacific Northwest B-WET Program Coordinator  
[Jacqueline.Laverdure@noaa.gov](mailto:Jacqueline.Laverdure@noaa.gov)  
(360) 406-2084

Bronwen Rice  
NOAA B- WET National Coordinator  
NOAA Office of Education  
[Bronwen.Rice@noaa.gov](mailto:Bronwen.Rice@noaa.gov)  
202-604-1388

Or view [http://olympiccoast.noaa.gov/ocean\\_literacy/bwet.html](http://olympiccoast.noaa.gov/ocean_literacy/bwet.html)

## VIII. Other Information

None.